OVERALL IMPACT

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood that the fellowship will enhance the candidate’s potential for, and commitment to, a productive independent scientific research career in a health-related field, in consideration of the following scored and additional review criteria. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have a major impact.

Overall Impact/Merit Write a paragraph summarizing the factors that informed your Overall Impact score.

SCORED REVIEW CRITERIA

Reviewers will consider each of the five review criteria below in the determination of scientific and technical merit, and give a separate score for each.

1. Fellowship Applicant

   Strengths
   •

   Weaknesses
   •

2. Sponsors, Collaborators, and Consultants

   Strengths
   •

   Weaknesses
   •

3. Research Training Plan

   Strengths
   •

   Weaknesses
   •

4. Training Potential
### ADDITIONAL REVIEW CRITERIA

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will consider the following additional items in the determination of scientific and technical merit, but will not give separate scores for these items.

— A response for Protections for Human Subjects, Vertebrate Animals, and Biohazards is required from reviewers for all applications.

— A response for Inclusion of Women, Minorities and Children is required from reviewers for Human Subjects Research Applications.

#### Protections for Human Subjects

Click Here to Select

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

- Data and Safety Monitoring Plan (Applicable for Clinical Trials Only):
  - Click Here to Select
  - Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

#### Inclusion of Women, Minorities and Children

Applicable Only for Human Subjects research and not IRB Exemption #4.

- Sex/Gender: Click Here to Select
- Race/Ethnicity: Click Here to Select
- For NIH-Defined Phase III trials, Plans for valid design and analysis: Click Here to Select
- Inclusion/Exclusion of Children under 18: Click Here to Select

Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

#### Vertebrate Animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

5. **Institutional Environment & Commitment to Training**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strengths</th>
<th>Weaknesses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
Is the proposed research involving vertebrate animals scientifically appropriate, including the justifications for animal usage and protections for research animals described in the Vertebrate Animal section (and method of euthanasia described in the Cover Page Supplement or PHS Fellowship Supplemental Form, if applicable)?

Click Here to Select
Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

Biohazards

Click Here to Select
Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

Resubmission

Comments (if applicable):

Renewal

Comments (if applicable):

ADDITIONAL REVIEW CONSIDERATIONS

As applicable for the project proposed, reviewers will address each of the following items, but will not give scores for these items and should not consider them in providing an overall impact score.

Training in the Responsible Conduct of Research

Click Here to Select
Comments on Format (Required):

Comments on Subject Matter (Required):

Comments on Faculty Participation (Required):

Comments on Duration (Required):

Comments on Frequency (Required):
Applications from Foreign Organizations
Click Here to Select
Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

Select Agents
Click Here to Select
Comments (Required Unless Not Applicable):

Resource Sharing Plans
Click Here to Select
Comments (Required):

Budget and Period of Support
Click Here to Select
Recommended budget modifications or possible overlap identified:

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO APPLICANT
Reviewers may provide guidance to the applicant or recommend against resubmission without fundamental revision.

Additional Comments to Applicant (Optional)

•