UAB MEDICINE
1917 CLINIC
Knowledge that will change your world

CFAR CENTER FOR AIDS RESEARCH

"ENDING HIV IN ALABAMA" FULL APPLICATION SCORING RUBRIC

Full Applications will be evaluated on the three following areas:

1. Project Focus   2. Resources   3. Outcomes

Scoring will take place using the table below:

Full Application Scoring Guide	
Score	Description
1	Exceptional
2	Very Good
3	Good
4	Fair
5	Poor

Project Focus

Items to consider:

- Relevance to EHE Pillars
- Innovation
- Target Population
- Relationship with current programs external to organization(s)

Resources

Items to consider:

- Future Sustainability
- Organizational strengths/expertise
- Relationship with current programs internal to organization(s)
- Preliminary work/data to inform proposal

Outcomes

Items to consider:

- How well do outcomes correspond to Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic, and Time-bound (SMART) elements
- Strength of evaluation plan
- How will this program inform the local/statewide HIV epidemic

Three reviewers will provide scores on each of the above areas. The scores will be averaged for each section and aggregated. The applications will be grouped based on their aggregate scores.

For the review meeting, the projects scoring best (lowest) will be considered for funding, however as the aggregate scores will differ each cycle based on the strength of the applications, this process may be different each time.

Last Modified 12/4/2019

Factors affecting the final score:

Collaborative projects: -1 to final score

Previously funded for similar project: +1 to final score