Screening for interstitial lung disease in systemic sclerosis: performance of high-resolution CT with limited number of slices: a prospective study
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ABSTRACT

Objectives Early diagnosis of interstitial lung disease (ILD), currently the main cause of death in systemic sclerosis (SSc), is needed. The gold standard is high-resolution CT (HRCT) of the chest, but regular screening faces the risk of increased radiation exposure. We performed a prospective validation of a dedicated, 9-slice HRCT protocol with reduced radiation dose for the detection of ILD in patients with SSc.

Methods We analysed 170/205 consecutive patients with SSc. Whole-chest HRCT, serving as standard of reference, and the reduced HRCT with nine slices allocated according to a basal–apical gradient were obtained. ILD presence, extent (> or <20%) and diagnostic confidence were assessed. The reduced HRCT was independently analysed by two blinded radiologists, who also evaluated image quality. Radiation dose parameters were calculated.

Results Standard chest HRCT showed ILD in 77/170 patients. With the reduced HRCT, 68/77 cases with ILD were identified (sensitivity 88.3%, both readers). The accuracy (91.8%, reader 1; 94.7%, reader 2), diagnostic confidence (98.8%, reader 1; 95.3%, reader 2) and image quality rates were high. Minimal ILD was correctly quantified in 73.1% (reader 1)/71.2% (reader 2) and extensive ILD in 88% (reader 1)/100% (reader 2). Importantly, the reduced HRCT had a significantly lower radiation dose. The mean dose length product (effective dose) was only 5.66±4.46 mGycm (0.08±0.06 mSv) compared with the standard protocol dose of 149.00±95.90 mGycm (2.09±1.34 mSv).

Conclusions The above-described reduced chest HRCT protocol reliably detects even mild SSc-ILD in clinical practice, with the advantage of a much lower radiation dose compared with standard whole-chest HRCT.

INTRODUCTION

Interstitial lung disease (ILD) is frequent in patients with systemic sclerosis (SSc) and is the leading cause of disease-related death.1 Nowadays, high-resolution CT (HRCT) is the standard of reference for diagnosis of ILD. It allows an early detection of lung involvement, even from the subclinical stages. New methods enable a quantitative assessment and favour the use of HRCT for longitudinal studies.2–4

On the downside, CT uses ionising radiation,5 which has been linked to an increased cancer risk.1,5 As patients with SSc are often screened annually, dose reduction is an important issue.6,7 In the last decade, many methods have been introduced to lower the radiation dose by maintaining diagnostic image quality. Lowering tube current is the most widely employed approach in chest CT.8 Alternatively, the number of slices can be reduced by performing a sequential CT protocol.

In an earlier, smaller, retrospective study, our group evaluated ‘virtually’ calculated series with a reduced number of slices for the detection and quantification of ILD. The results were promising, showing a high accuracy for the detection of SSc-ILD.9

The goals of this prospective study were therefore (1) to evaluate the robustness of the scan protocol concerning image acquisition; (2) to analyse the accuracy of a reduced chest scan with limited number of HRCT slices compared with a standard HRCT of the entire chest for the detection and quantification of ILD in patients with SSc and to validate thereby the results of the first retrospective study in a larger, prospective cohort and (3) to measure the reduction in radiation dose versus standard HRCT.

METHODS

Patients’ population A total of 205 consecutive patients with a diagnosis of SSc and annual follow-up in the Department of Rheumatology, University Hospital Zurich, were prospectively included in this study. All patients fulfilled either the American College of Rheumatology classification criteria10 or the Very Early Diagnosis Of Systemic Sclerosis (VEDOSS) criteria for SSc.11 Assessment of clinical parameters and image acquisition were done prospectively following international standards.12 All patients signed informed consent. The study was approved as part of the Zurich cohort of the European Scleroderma Trial and Research registry by the institutional review board.

Image acquisition All patients were examined between January 2012 and September 2013. CT scans were performed with a 64-slice multidetector CT (Somatom Definition AS, Siemens Healthcare, Erlangen, Germany) or a 128-slice multidetector CT (Definition Flash Dual Source, Siemens Healthcare, Germany). Patients were examined in prone position and at end inspiration. Standard HRCT of the entire chest was performed and considered the standard of reference (tube potential 120 kV reference tube current–time product 40 or 110 mAs, care dose on). Data were
reconstructed with filtered back projection (FBP), a slice thickness of 1 mm, an increment of 0.8 mm, using a sharp (B60f) or very sharp convolution kernel (B70f).

Furthermore, a low-sampling HRCT of the chest with nine sequential slices, the so-called ‘reduced HRCT’, was obtained (tube potential 120 kV, reference tube current–time product 30 or 110 mAs, care dose on). The first three slices had an increment of 80 mm and were placed as follows: the first slice at the manubrium sterni, the second at the carina and the third at the lower lobe. For the following six basal slices, the increment was 15 mm (figure 1). Due to technical reasons, the CT scanner performed two slices per level. Data were reconstructed with FBP, a slice thickness of 1 mm and a very sharp (B70f) or ultrasharp convolution kernel (B80f).

Image assessment
The readout was performed on dedicated PACS-Viewer (Impax 6.4, AGFA, Dübendorf, Switzerland) and licensed reading screens. The readers were allowed to use all functions without multiplanar reformation, including changing window and level setting. All images were displayed on lung window.

On standard HRCT of the entire chest, presence of ILD (yes/no; for ILD definition, see online supplementary text) was assessed by one reader experienced with chest CT (TF, 12 years of experience) as previously described. The extent of ILD was graded as no lung involvement, lung involvement less than 20% (i.e. limited disease), lung involvement more than 20% (i.e. extensive disease) or indeterminate (i.e. extent not readily classifiable) as described by Goh et al. In cases of uncertainty concerning the presence of ILD, the CT scans from previous annual follow-ups were taken into account. Degree of diagnostic confidence was recorded (score 1 to 4; 1=fully confident; 2=probably confident; 3=confident only under limited conditions; 4=not confident). Before the analysis of the reduced HRCT scans, all three readers underwent a training session including assessment and discussion of 10 non-study-related cases.

The reduced HRCT scans were evaluated in a blinded manner, without knowing the results of the standard HRCT, by two radiologists (AW, 4 years of experience; SB, 6 years of experience). Again, presence and extent of ILD and the related diagnostic confidence were assessed. Furthermore, the quality of the image acquisition of reduced HRCT scans was rated on a scale from 1 to 3 as follows: 1=excellent (all slices contain lung tissue, base of the lung covered, second slice less than 2 cm above or below the level of the carina); 2=acceptable (1–2 slices without capture of lung tissue and/or second slice more than 2 cm above or below the level of the carina); and 3=not acceptable (three or more slices without capture of lung tissue and/or second slice more than 3 cm above or below the level of the carina).

Radiation dose
Radiation dose parameters of standard HRCT of the entire chest and reduced HRCT were assessed from the patient protocol. Effective radiation dose in millisievert was estimated by multiplying the dose length product (DLP) with the region-specific conversion coefficient (chest 0.014 mSv/mGycm).

Statistical analysis
Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS (SPSS, release V21.0 for Windows; SPSS, Chicago, Illinois, USA). Continuous variables were reported as mean±SD, and categorical variables as frequencies or percentages. Cohen’s κ statistics or Kendall’s τ test was calculated for interobserver agreements as appropriate. Presence of ILD was compared with Cochrane’s Q test and McNemar test. Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy and negative predictive value were calculated concerning the detection of ILD. The CI was 95%. Statistical significance was inferred at a p value below 0.05.

RESULTS
Patients’ demographics
Of the 205 patients with SSc included, 35 could not be analysed (HRCT of the entire chest not available, n=11; image reconstruction with iterative reconstruction and not FBP n=16; no available image protocol, n=6; reduced HRCT acquired with more than nine slices, n=2). Thus, CT scans of 170 patients with SSc were assessed in this study (139 women, 31 men; median age 56 (Q1,Q3 = 48,65.73)). Clinical characteristics of these 170 patients with SSc are summarised in table 1.

Image acquisition
CT images were acquired with a tube potential of 120 kV in all patients. The reference tube current–time product was 110 mAs in standard HRCT and reduced HRCT in 72 patients (42.4%), and 40 mAs in standard HRCT and 30 mAs in reduced HRCT in the remaining 98 patients (57.6%). Both standard and reduced HRCT were reconstructed with a very sharp convolution kernel (B70f) in 89 patients (52.4%), whereas in 66 patients (38.8%) standard HRCT was reconstructed with a very sharp convolution kernel (B70f) and reduced HRCT with an ultrasharp convolution kernel (B80f). In 15 patients (8.8%), a sharp convolution kernel was used in standard HRCT (B60f) and a very sharp convolution kernel in reduced HRCT (B70f).

Image assessment
Inter-reader agreement for reduced HRCT was good for the assessment of quality of image acquisition (κ=0.71) (τ=0.78) and for the analysis of extent of ILD (κ=0.76) (τ=0.84), and it
was excellent for the detection of ILD (κ=0.82), as well as concerning diagnostic confidence (κ=0.89) (t=0.94).

Quality of image acquisition of reduced HRCT scans was rated as excellent or acceptable in 93.5% (n=159) by both readers (reader 1: 112 excellent/47 acceptable/11 not acceptable; reader 2: 96 excellent/63 acceptable/11 not acceptable).

ILD was present in 45.3% of subjects (n=77/170) in standard HRCT. ILD was correctly diagnosed in 88.3% (n=68/77) of reduced HRCT scans, with a sensitivity of 88.3% for both readers and an accuracy of 91.8% (reader 1) and 94.7% (reader 2), as shown in table 2. In two patients, both readers missed the presence of ILD due to subtle changes located in the costodiaphragmatic sinus, which was not captured in the reduced HRCT scans, although they were classified as having excellent image quality (figure 2). In the remaining seven cases, the (minor) findings on reduced HRCT were interpreted as dysestaxis.

Diagnostic confidence was very high in standard HRCT (98.8% fully confident, 1.2% probably confident) and high in reduced HRCT (reader 1: 91.2% fully confident, 7.6% probably confident, 1.2% confident only under limited conditions; reader 2: 70.0% fully confident, 25.3% probably confident, 4.7% confident only under limited conditions; p<0.05 vs. standard HRCT, both readers). No reader felt ‘not confident’ (score 4) concerning the diagnosis of presence or absence of ILD in reduced or standard HRCT.

In patients with evidence of ILD (n=77/170), the extent of ILD was estimated as minimal (i.e. <20%) in 52/77 patients and as extensive (i.e. >20%) in 25/77 patients in standard HRCT.

From the patients with minimal involvement on standard HRCT, 73.1% (reader 1) and 71.2% (reader 2) were classified correctly on reduced HRCT, 17.3% (both readers) were wrongly classified as no ILD and 9.6% (reader 1) and 11.5% (reader 2) were overestimated. Regarding patients classified as

### Table 1 Characteristics of the study population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Sex (n)</th>
<th>Disease duration* (months)</th>
<th>Disease subsets (n)</th>
<th>mRSS*</th>
<th>Antibody profile (n of positives)</th>
<th>Lung function parameters (n)</th>
<th>Major organ involvement except ILD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56 (48,66)</td>
<td>Men n=31/170</td>
<td>60 (28, 120)</td>
<td>lcSSc (n=66/166)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ANA n=163/170</td>
<td>DLCO&lt;70% of predicted</td>
<td>Renal crisis n=3/167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Women n=139/170</td>
<td></td>
<td>dCSSc 4 (n=34/166)</td>
<td></td>
<td>ACA n=73/166</td>
<td>n=43/168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>earlysine</td>
<td></td>
<td>RNA polymerase III n=11/160</td>
<td>FVC&lt;70% of predicted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>scleroderma 0</td>
<td></td>
<td>U1-RNP n=7/164</td>
<td>TLC&lt;70% of predicted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(n=66/166)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>n=13/168</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Degree of confidence score 1 or 2 (i.e. 1=fully confident; 2=probably confident). HRCT, high-resolution CT; ILD, interstitial lung disease; NPV, negative predictive value.

### Table 2 Estimated accuracy and diagnostic certainty in detecting ILD on reduced HRCT scans

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reader 1</th>
<th>Reader 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity (95% CI)</td>
<td>88.3% (78.5% to 94.2%)</td>
<td>88.3% (78.5% to 94.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity (95% CI)</td>
<td>94.6% (87.3% to 98.0%)</td>
<td>100% (95.1% to 100%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accuracy</td>
<td>91.8%</td>
<td>94.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NPV (95% CI)</td>
<td>90.7% (82.7% to 95.4%)</td>
<td>91.2% (83.5% to 95.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High diagnostic confidence*</td>
<td>98.8%</td>
<td>95.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Degree of confidence score 1 or 2 (i.e. 1=fully confident; 2=probably confident). HRCT, high-resolution CT; ILD, interstitial lung disease; NPV, negative predictive value.

### Figure 2 A female patient (35 years) with minimal interstitial lung disease missed by both readers in the reduced high-resolution CT (HRCT) scan. (Top) Transverse image of standard HRCT at basal level showing slight changes at the costodiaphragmatic sinus. (Bottom) The ninth most basal slice of the reduced HRCT scan, not capturing parenchymal changes.
extensive in standard HRCT, 88% (reader 1) and 100% (reader 2) were correctly classified as extensive in reduced HRCT (table 3). All misclassified cases were also borderline on standard HRCT.

**Radiation dose**

In standard HRCT, mean overall DLP was 149.00 ±95.90 mGycm with an estimated effective radiation dose of 2.09 ±1.34 mSv, while in reduced HRCT, the mean overall DLP was 5.66 ±4.46 mGycm with an effective radiation dose of 0.08 ±0.06 mSv (p<0.001), resulting in an overall radiation dose reduction of 96.2%.

**DISCUSSION**

This prospective study evaluated a dedicated HRCT protocol consisting of only nine slices with a basal–apical gradient for the detection of ILD in patients with SSc. Our results show that this protocol has a high accuracy and sensitivity in the detection of SSc-ILD, significantly reduces the radiation dose and can be implemented in daily routine.

Screening and early detection of ILD in patients with SSc is important as pulmonary involvement is a negative prognostic factor. Goh et al.9 showed that interstitial changes extending more than 20% on HRCT are associated with a higher mortality. Incipient lung findings like ground glass opacities are very subtle, cannot be visualised on chest radiography and do not lead to a quantifiable decrease in lung function parameters. They are, nonetheless, prone to progress, and their early detection might allow early access to treatment and, hopefully, a better outcome. Without question, early diagnosis of SSc-ILD is, due to the leading role of lung involvement in SSc-related mortality, a priority, and HRCT is the most sensitive method. However, just like the use of HRCT for early lung cancer detection, the use of annual HRCT as a screening method for ILD is to be considered carefully because of the cumulative radiation dose. It is assumed that patients might be especially exposed to the attributable risk for developing cancer after repetitive CT-generated ionising radiation.6–7 Based on the principle of As Low As Reasonably Achievable, all possible methods to reduce radiation dose by maintaining diagnostic image quality have to be applied.15 16 When compared with early lung cancer detection programmes, the use of low-dose or ultra-low-dose CT is limited as the current tube dose reduction leads to an increase in noise and makes the detection of subtle interstitial findings impossible. We therefore investigated a different method by reducing the number of CT slices per scan. Indeed, using our protocol, the radiation dose could be lowered down to 0.08 mSv, which is a meaningful dose reduction of 96.2%, to a level comparable with a conventional chest radiograph (0.05 mSv). This is an important advancement in the strategy to reduce radiation exposure, while at the same time improving early and sensitive detection of lung involvement in patients with SSc (see online supplementary text).

In a previous retrospective study,9 our group evaluated the use of such a protocol by retrospectively extracting the relevant slices from a whole-chest HRCT of patients with SSc. Different image series were evaluated. The best performance, with equal results to standard HRCT, was an image series with a basal–apical gradient, consistent with the mainly basally located parenchymal changes in ILD.2 Based on these results, the HRCT protocol used in the current study was designed. This protocol differs slightly from the one in the retrospective study due to technical and user-related reasons. As scans were acquired by CT technicians with different training levels and different experience, the protocol had to be easily usable, with minimal variables. Our results show that the majority of exams were classified as excellent and acceptable. The most frequently reported fault was related to the most basal slices, which were scanned either too low or slightly too high, the latter leading to false negative results.

Concerning the detection of ILD, the results showed a high accuracy and sensitivity of 93% and 88.3%, respectively, only slightly below the values from the retrospective study. False negative results were mainly due to small areas of ILD in the costodiaphragmatic angle, which is likely not clinically meaningful. There were few false positive results caused by misinterpretation of noise as ground glass opacities.

Visual assessment of the extent of fibrosis with the reduced HRCT protocol was good in extensive disease and satisfactory in minimal disease, in which case 17.3% of cases were missed, and 9.6% (reader 1) and 11.5% (reader 2) were overestimated.

This limitation can be overcome through alternative methods for the assessment of the extent of ILD. A well-described option is the use of CT densitometric parameters like skewness and kurtosis of the CT histograms of the lung tissue.17 These parameters have been validated by various authors for the whole-chest CT and correlate with spirometric lung function parameters.18–19 Initial results from an ongoing study with the same population show that this method can be translated into our protocol and enables the quantification of the reduced HRCT. This would also be significant with regard to the implementation of such a protocol for follow-up exams, where smaller changes have to be expressed quantitatively.

Our study has the following limitations: HRCT protocols used different tube current–time product and convolution kernels for the standard whole-chest HRCT and the reduced HRCT between exams. As there was no effect on the detection of fibrosis, we did not exclude these cases from the analysis. The reason for the different kernels was that the kernels provided by the scanner were not identical for sequence and spiral

**Table 3 Estimated extent of ILD in standard HRCT and reduced HRCT scans**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lung involvement in standard HRCT</th>
<th>Estimated extent in reduced HRCT</th>
<th>No ILD Reader 1/2</th>
<th>Minimal (&lt;20%) Reader 1/2</th>
<th>Extensive (&gt;20%) Reader 1/2</th>
<th>Indeterminate Reader 1/2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimal (&lt;20%)</td>
<td>n=9/9</td>
<td>n=38/37*</td>
<td>n=5/6</td>
<td>n=0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extensive (&gt;20%)</td>
<td>n=0/0</td>
<td>n=3/0</td>
<td>n=22/25*</td>
<td>n=0/0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n=25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ILD was present in 77 patients in standard HRCT.

*Correctly estimated extent in reduced HRCT compared with standard HRCT.

HRCT, high-resolution CT; ILD, interstitial lung disease.
mode. Another limitation is represented by the asymmetric distribution of slices according to the basal–apical gradient, with a wide gap of non-depicted lung in the mid and upper parts. To further improve estimation of the extent of lung involvement, some additional slices in these parts might be necessary. Furthermore, slight fibrotic changes at the level of the costodiaphragmatic sinus can be missed in the reduced HRCT protocol due to lack of coverage, although the clinical meaning of these subtle changes might be questionable. In addition, the primary focus being ILD, other potentially relevant findings can be missed (see online supplementary text), making the reduced HRCT protocol perhaps best for younger patients, less likely to have important incidental findings. Finally, future studies in clinical practice have to show whether the new HRCT protocol can replace conventional HRCT protocols or whether a combination of protocols, for example, by the use of reduced HRCT for screening and conventional HRCT for confirmation of potentially meaningful changes is more accurate.

In conclusion, our study shows that a dedicated, reduced HRCT protocol consisting of nine slices allocated according to a basal–apical gradient represents a robust and accurate method to reliably detect ILD in patients with SSc. With the considerable advantage of a low radiation dose, this study provides evidence that it can be implemented in daily clinical routine for early detection and screening of ILD.
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