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**GROUP DISCUSSION REFLECTIONS RUBRIC**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Learning  Outcome | Exemplary  4 | Benchmark  3 | Milestone  2 | Insufficient  1\* | Score |
| Clear and concise writing | Exceptional work | Critera met; minor errors | Satisfactory work | Unacceptable work |  |
| Utilized higher order thinking skills (Bloom’s taxonomy\*\*) | Provides examples of higher order critical thinking skills (creating & evaluating) | Examples are supported by moderate order critical thinking skills (analyzing & applying) | Examples included lower order critical thinking skills (understanding & remembering) | Examples are not provided, critical thinking skills are not demonstrated |  |
| Defined and expressed main topic(s) | Exceptional work defining and expressing main topic(s) | Comprehended main topic(s) to discuss | Satisfactory work defining and expressing main topic(s) | Main topic(s) not defined and expressed |  |
| Reflected on knowledge/skills gained from class experience | Exceptional definitive skills/knowledge reflected | Examples showed definitive skills/knowledge reflected | Examples provided lack evidence of skills/ knowledge reflected | Did not provide examples of knowledge/skills reflected |  |
| Utilized problem-solving strategies realized from class experience | Examples demonstrated exemplary problem-solving strategies | Examples demonstrated problem-solving strategies | Examples lacked evidence of problem-solving strategies | No examples of problem-solving strategies demonstrated |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | TOTAL= |

*\*Evaluators are encouraged to assign a zero to any work sample that does not attain sufficient performance.*

*\*\*Evaluators are encouraged to refer to Bloom’s Taxonomy.*

Exemplary – Excellent/exceptional work. Exceeds expectations of the project. Superior. (100%)

Benchmark – Understands scope and met initial criteria of project/document. 1-2 minor errors. (75%)

Milestone/Satisfactory – Acceptable work, but demonstrated limited understanding of scope. Did not meet initial criteria of project/document. 3-5 issues with project/document. Lacking. (50%)

Insufficient – Document/project completed, but not as requested. Work was unacceptable and lacking a demonstration of competence. (25%-0%)
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